

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,360	09	0/09/2003	Joseph Bibb Cain	GCSD-1466 (51332)	2105	
27975	7590	08/16/2005		EXAMINER		
•	•	PPELT, MILBRA	NGUYEN, HANH N			
P.O. BOX 3		R 255 SOUTH ORA	ANGE AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ORLANDO	, FL 32802	2-3791		2662		
				DATE MAILED: 08/16/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ί <b>Υ</b>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/658,360	CAIN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hanh Nguyen	2662			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision of	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	lay 2005.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)[	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar ion is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents:  2. Certified copies of the priority documents:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents:  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen		_				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date  formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 2662

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 6-13, 15-21, 23-31, 33-36, 38-40 and 42 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated Bahl (Pat. 6629,151 B1).

In claims 1, 11, 20, 28, 34 and 39, Bahl discloses a mobile ad hoc network (MANET) (wireless network 118, fig.3, col.16, lines 5-15) comprising: a plurality of mobile nodes (portable computers 120, 130, see fig.3), each comprising a wireless communications device ( see fig.1, wireless network interface 53/ wireless modem 54) and a controller (processing unit 21, fig.1). See col.2, lines 60-62, col.3, lines 58-67 and col.16, lines 5-22. The controller operating in accordance with a multi-layer protocol hierarchy for (processing unit 21 executes programs modules comprising application programs 36, program modules 37, program data 38, see col.3, lines 27-35 & col.4, lines 5-25, see fig.2).

at an upper protocol layer, establishing a quality-of-service (QoS) threshold (application layer 100 supports sofware applications and serves users, see fig.2);

at at least one intermediate protocol layer below upper protocol layer (session layer 104, transport layer 106, network layer 108, and a data link layer 110, see fig.2), selecting at least one

route for transmitting data to at least one destination mobile node based upon the QOS threshold, (network layer 108 defines address and routes data across network to destination, decides which physical paths the data should take, given network condition and priority of service, see fig.2, col.4, lines 35-40); and determining whether a QOS metric ( signal power) has falls below the QOS threshold (see col.8, lines 52-65);

at a lower protocol layer below the at least one intermediate protocol layer (physical layer 112, Mac sublayer, logical link control (LLC), cooperating with said wireless communications device to determine the QOS metric for the at least one selected route (determining signal threshold as the user moving from one base station to another, col.8, lines 50-65), transmit data to the at least one destination mobile node via the at least one selected route (physical layer 112, fig.2, col.4, lines 53-56) and adjust signal transmission power based upon a determination that the QOS metric ( signal power) has fallen below the QOS threshold (see col. 9, lines 1-15).

In claims 2, 3, 12, 29, 30 and 35, Bahl discloses at the lower protocol layer, said controller also cooperates with said wireless communications device to adjust signal transmission gain (transmission power) in a desired direction based upon a determination that the QOS metric (transmission power) has fallen below the QoS threshold (increasing transmission power as the computer 120 moving away from base station and reducing transmission power as the computer 120 moving toward the base station and still level of quality connection, see col.9, lines 1-15).

In claims 4, 13, 21, 31, 36 and 40, Bahl discloses at the at least one intermediate protocol layer, said controller encodes data prior to transmission; and wherein said controller also adjusts

Art Unit: 2662

an amount of error correction coding based upon a determination that the QOS metric has fallen below the QOS threshold (see col.8, lines 15-25).

In claims 6, 15, 23, 33, 38 and 42, Bahl discloses at the lower protocol layer, said controller cooperates with said wireless communications device to transmit data at a data rate (computer 120 is designed to operate at different data rates); and wherein said controller also cooperates with said wireless communications device to adjust the data rate based upon a determination that the QOS metric has fallen below the QOS threshold (the computer 120 operates at higher rate when there are less errors in packets transmitted; and operates at a lower data rate when there are more errors in packets transmitted, the computer 120, see col.15, lines 55-65).

In claims 7, 16 and 24, Bahl discloses the upper protocol layer comprises an application layer (see fig.2, col.4, lines 27-32).

In claims 8, 17 and 25, Bahl discloses at least one intermediate protocol alyer comprises session layer 104, transport layer 106, network layer 108, and a radio transport layer (a data link layer 110) ( see fig.2).

In claims 9, 18 and 26, Bahl discloses the lower protocol layer comprises a physical layer (physical layer 112, fig.2).

In claims 10, 19 and 27, Bahl discloses the QOS threshold is based upon at least one of available bandwidth, error rate (col.15, lines 55-65), end-to-end delay, end-to-end delay variation (see col.9, line 65 to col.10, line 8), hop count, expected path durability (deciding physical path over which the data is transmitted, see col.4, lines 36-40), and priority (priority of service, col.4, lines 39-40).

Application/Control Number: 10/658,360 Page 5

Art Unit: 2662

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 14, 22, 32, 37 and 41 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bahl (Pat. 6629,151 B1) in view of Berezdivin et al.(Pat.6,847,678 B2).

In claims 5, 14, 22, 32, 37 and 41, as described in Bahl, fig. 1, a modem 54 (modulator means) is used to establish connection between the computer 120 and a remote computer 49. Bahl does not disclose at the lower protocol layer, said controller cooperates with said wireless communications device to modulate the data using a first modulation technique if the QOS metric is greater than or equal to the QOS threshold, and otherwise using a second modulation technique. Berezdivin et al. discloses an ad-hoc network (col.3, lines 20-30) a multiple modulation techniques comprising TDMA modulation technique (a first modulation technique), FDMA modulation technique (a second modulation technique, col.13, lines 5-32). The TDMA and FDMA modulation techniques are selected according to requirements associated with data rate and quality of service (See col.2, lines 57-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art to use the teaching of Berezdivin et al. into Bahl in order to modulate transmited data via the wireless modem 54 using a first modulation technique (TDMA modulation technique) if the QOS metric (data rate) is greater than or equal to the QOS threshold; or using a second modulation technique (FDMA) otherwise.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,360 Page 6

Art Unit: 2662

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 5/26/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues on page 17 that Bahl reference has anything to do with Manets environments or perform routing in complex Manet.

Regarding to Bahl, fig.3, a wireless network 118 comprises a portable 130 with its wireless NIC 132 and portable computer 120 with its wireless NIC 122. The wireless NIC 132 is configured to either transmit/receive packets directly to/from wireless NIC 122 in an Ad-hoc mode (Manet environment) without connecting to base station 124 (routing in Manet). See col.16, lines 5-15. Examiner considers the Ad-hoc mode as a Manet.

Applicant argues on page 18 that Bahl does not teach establishing QOS threshold at an application layer.

Examiner believes that the application layer is well-known in the art to establish a QOS threshold. An example is a US pat. 6,785,227 B1 invented by Lu et al..

In this Patent, Lu et al. discloses, in fig.4, that an application layer requirements includes service types, priority, transfer delay, transmission of data file an other application layer requirements known to those skilled in the art.

As cited by examiner, Bahl discloses that the application layer 100 serves end users and supports sortware applications with which the users interact. Therefore, supporting software application in Bahl includes transmission of data file in Lu et al.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Nguyen whose telephone number is 571 272 3092. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8AM to 5PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou, can be reached on 571 272 3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/658,360 Page 8

Art Unit: 2662

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hanh Nguyen

August 15, 2005

HANH NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER